



## **AB 72 UNIFORM WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES**

HSC §1371.30(b)(1)<sup>1</sup>: The department shall establish uniform written procedures for the submission, receipt, processing, and resolution of claim payment disputes pursuant to this section and any other guidelines for implementing this section.

### **1 SUBMISSION**

#### 1.1 Required Filing of Delegated Entity Report by Licensed Health Plans

- 1.1.1 Licensed health care service plans may delegate payment function to various entities (Delegated Entities). The Department of Managed Health Care (DMHC) AB 72 Independent Dispute Resolution Process (IDRP) allows a health plan to name a delegated entity as the responsible payor for purposes of the IDRP. Once a delegated entity is named by the health plan, the delegated entity is required to participate in the IDRP (see HSC §1371.30(f)). Notwithstanding delegation, the health plan is ultimately responsible for implementing the IDRP decision (see HSC §1371.30(d)).
- 1.1.2 In order to conduct the IDRP, the DMHC will require all licensed health plans to submit electronically a current list of the health plan's delegated entities (hereinafter, "Delegated Entity Report"). If a health plan does not delegate payment function to any delegated entities, the Delegated Entity Report shall state that the health plan does not delegate payment function.
- 1.1.3 The Delegated Entity Report must be submitted electronically to the DMHC's Office of Plan Licensing (OPL) via the eFiling webportal pursuant to CCR §1300.41.8. The Delegated Entity Report must be submitted on an annual basis. Each health plan's first Delegated Entity Report must be submitted by November 15, 2017. Subsequent reports are due by November 15th of each year. In the event that there are no changes to a health plan's previously filed Delegated Entity report, the health plan is required to submit a Delegated Entity Report that states there are no changes to report.

---

<sup>1</sup> References to "HSC" are to the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act (Act), as codified in the California Health and Safety Code, Section 1340, et seq. References to "CCR" are to the regulations promulgated pursuant to the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act, found at Title 28, Division 1, Chapter 2, California Code of Regulations, beginning with Section 1300.43.

#### 1.1.4 At a minimum, the Delegated Entity Report must contain:

- The name and title of the individual(s), including at least one (1) alternate contact, at the health plan responsible for receiving and responding to communications from the DMHC for purposes of the IDRPs, including the individual's e-mail address and direct telephone number, with extension, if applicable.
- The name of each delegated entity.
- Accurate and current contact information for each delegated entity, including mailing address and telephone number.
- The name and title of the individual(s), including at least one (1) alternate contact, at the delegated entity responsible for receiving and responding to communications from the DMHC for purposes of the IDRPs, including the individual's e-mail address and direct telephone number, with extension, if applicable.

### 1.2 Registration

1.2.1 All prospective parties to IDRPs must register with the online IDRPs portal in order to submit an IDRPs Application or respond to an IDRPs Application. Each provider and payor must create an Administrator account that will be responsible for approving the registrations of each user sub-account. Providers will be required to input a National Provider Identifier (NPI) number upon registration.

1.2.2 The prospective parties to IDRPs include health plans, delegated entities, and noncontracting individual health professionals. Physician groups, independent practice associations, or other entities authorized to act on behalf of a noncontracting individual health professional may also initiate and participate in the IDRPs.

### 1.3 IDRPs Application

1.3.1 An Initiating Party must complete an IDRPs Application online using the DMHC's external IDRPs portal. The IDRPs Application form is entirely web-based. IDRPs Applications will not be accepted outside of the IDRPs portal and there is no parallel paper process for the IDRPs. The Application includes required data fields related to claims processing and billing. The information needed to complete these data fields should be readily available to the Initiating Party on the claim form(s), Explanation(s) of Benefits (EOBs), and Provider Dispute Resolution (PDR) determination letter(s) for the claim(s) that are in dispute.

### 1.4 Required Supporting Documents:

1.4.1 The following documents must be included with an IDRPs Application in order for it to be processed by the DMHC:

- Claim Form(s)
- Provider Dispute Resolution (PDR) Determination Letter(s)
  - Note: If a provider attempted PDR, but did not receive an acknowledgment letter or determination letter from the payor and

at least 45 business days have passed since the date of receipt<sup>2</sup> of the provider dispute, the provider may submit dated proof of the PDR attempt in lieu of a PDR determination letter. In accordance with CCR §1300.71.38(d)(2), the 45 business day period shall be extended in situations where a provider dispute is returned and must be amended.

- Explanation(s) of Benefits or Remittance Advice

## 1.5 Narrative Summary Justification

1.5.1 In addition to the required supporting documents, a complete IDR Application should include a narrative summary justification that addresses all information relevant to the Initiating Party's suggested appropriate reimbursement amount for the claim(s) at issue, including, but not limited to, the factors set forth in CCR §1300.71(a)(3)(B)(i)-(vi). These factors are listed here:

- i. the provider's training, qualifications, and length of time in practice;
- ii. the nature of the services provided;
- iii. the fees usually charged by the provider;
- iv. prevailing provider rates charged in the general geographic area in which the services were rendered;
- v. other aspects of the economics of the medical provider's practice that are relevant; and
- vi. any unusual circumstances in the case.

1.5.2 Although not required, this narrative summary justification is very important. It is the Initiating Party's chance to make its case and show that its suggested reimbursement amount is appropriate. The narrative summary justification should be well-organized and should cite or reference supporting documentation and evidence where applicable. All cited or referenced materials should be uploaded with the IDR Application.

1.5.3 The DMHC will not impose a page-limit on the narrative summary justification.

## 1.6 Other Relevant Supporting Documents or Information

1.6.1 The Initiating Party may also submit any other documents or information, including information regarding network adequacy and the capacity of the plan's network to provide access to the services subject to IDR, that it believes to be relevant to the suggested appropriate reimbursement amount for the claim(s) at issue and that it would like the independent review organization to consider when making an IDR decision.<sup>3</sup> It is the Initiating Party's responsibility to explain the relevance of all submitted documentation in its narrative summary justification.

1.6.2 The independent organization conducting the IDR will consider solely the information and documents timely submitted to the DMHC by the parties to the dispute when rendering a decision. Therefore, it is the IDR participant's

---

<sup>2</sup> "Date of receipt" is defined at CCR §1300.71.38(a)(3).

<sup>3</sup> For information regarding the confidentiality of the IDR Application and documents uploaded to the IDR portal, please refer to Section 1.9.

responsibility to include all documents and information relevant to the appropriate reimbursement amount with the IDR Application.

- 1.6.3 The DMHC will not impose a page limit on the supporting documents submitted with the IDR Application.

## 1.7 General Guidelines

- 1.7.1 All claims in an IDR Application must be for services rendered on or after July 1, 2017.
- 1.7.2 All claims in an IDR Application must be for non-emergency services. If there is an unresolved dispute as to whether the health care services at issue are non-emergent, the claim(s) do not qualify for the IDR.
- 1.7.3 All claims in an IDR Application must be for covered services provided at a contracting health facility, or provided as a result of covered services at a contracting health facility, by a noncontracting individual health professional.
- 1.7.4 Prior to submitting an IDR Application, the PDR process must be completed with either the health plan or the applicable delegated entity (see HSC §1371.30(a)(2)). An Initiating Party is not required to complete PDR with both the health plan and the delegated entity if each entity maintains a separate PDR process. Required proof of completed PDR is a final PDR determination letter.
- Note: If a provider attempted PDR, but did not receive an acknowledgment letter or determination letter from the payor and at least 45 business days have passed since the PDR attempt, the provider may submit dated proof of the PDR attempt in lieu of a PDR determination letter. In accordance with CCR §1300.71.38(d)(2), the 45 business day period shall be extended in situations where a provider dispute is returned and must be amended.
- 1.7.5 Claims are eligible for the IDR for 365-days from the final PDR date of determination.<sup>4</sup> If the provider attempted PDR, but the payor was non-responsive, the 365-day limit will run after 45 business days have passed since the date of receipt of the provider dispute.<sup>5</sup> In the event that a claim is submitted to the IDR, but disqualified due to a curable defect in the IDR Application, the time during which the initial IDR Application was pending with the DMHC is not included in the 365-day limit.
- 1.7.6 A dentist, licensed pursuant to the Dental Practice Act (Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 1600) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code) is not a “noncontracting individual health professional” for purposes of the IDR and cannot participate in the IDR.
- 1.7.7 Medi-Cal managed health care service plans or any other entity that enters into a contract with the State Department of Health Care Services pursuant to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 14000), Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 14200), and Chapter 8.75 (commencing with Section 14591) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the

---

<sup>4</sup> “Date of Determination” is defined at CCR §1300.71.38(a)(4).

<sup>5</sup> “Date of receipt” is defined at CCR §1300.71.38(a)(3).

Welfare and Institutions Code are excluded from the IDRPs and cannot participate in the IDRPs.

## 1.8 Bundled Claims

- 1.8.1 An Initiating Party may “bundle” up to 50 claims in a single IDRPs Application if the claims meet the following conditions (see HSC §1371.30(b)(3)):
  - all claims must be for services provided by the same individual health professional;
  - all claims must have the same payor (health plan or delegated entity);
  - all claims must be for the same or similar services
- 1.8.2 A single claim within a bundle must contain Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes or Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes.
- 1.8.3 Required supporting documents (as described in Section 1.4) must be submitted for each claim within a bundle (e.g., PDR must be complete for each individual claim).
- 1.8.4 IDRPs Applications that include improperly bundled claims will be rejected and closed. The DMHC will electronically send the Initiating Party a closing letter explaining why the IDRPs Application was rejected through the IDRPs portal. If the Initiating Party chooses to proceed with all or some of the claim(s), it must submit a new IDRPs Application.
- 1.8.5 An IDRPs Application is assigned a case ID# and each bundled claim receives a sub-ID # (e.g., ID-1700001, ID-1700001-1). The IDRPs Application requires documents and other information for bundled claims to be inputted at both the case level and the claim level. The IDRPs portal includes functionality to identify which documents are relevant to specific claim(s) within a bundle. All documents uploaded within the IDRPs portal should be identified by claim where possible. Documents uploaded to the IDRPs portal will be sent to the independent organization without reformatting or other changes.
- 1.8.6 An IDRPs Application with bundled claims will be allowed only a single narrative summary justification document. However, the parties to the IDRPs are free to organize the narrative by claim, if applicable.
- 1.8.7 Any bundles exceeding 50 claims must be submitted in separate IDRPs Applications. For example, 100 similar claims meeting the conditions in HSC §1371.30(b)(3) must be submitted in at least two (2) separate IDRPs Applications that contain up to 50 bundled claims.

## 1.9 Confidentiality of IDRPs Application and Document Classifications

- 1.9.1 IDRPs Application information identifying the claim(s) at issue will be shared with the applicable Opposing Party for purposes of determining (i) whether the DMHC has jurisdiction over the claim(s), and, if relevant, (ii) whether the Health Plan will be participating in the IDRPs or delegating participation to a delegated entity. The information that will be shared for these purposes includes, for each claim, the:
  - Subscriber name
  - Patient name
  - Patient ID#

- Patient date of birth (DOB)
  - Dates of Service (DOS)
  - Provider name
  - Facility name<sup>6</sup>
  - Claim Number
- 1.9.2 Following identification of the Opposing Party participating in the IDRП, and subject to the Document Classification conditions in Section 1.9.3, the Initiating Party’s complete IDRП Application will be viewable by both parties and the independent organization reviewing the dispute.
- 1.9.3 The IDRП portal requires users to select a Document Classification any time a document is uploaded to the IDRП portal. The Document Classifications and their visibility rules are as follows:
- “Confidential” Document: When a user uploads a document to the IDRП portal and selects the “Confidential” Document classification, the document will be visible to the user, the DMHC, and the independent organization reviewing the dispute. For example, if an Initiating Party uploads a document and selects the “Confidential” Document classification, the Opposing Party will not have the ability to view the document in the IDRП portal at any time.
  - “Non-Confidential” Document: When a user uploads a document to the IDRП portal and selects the “Non-Confidential” Document classification, the document will be visible to both parties to the IDRП, the DMHC, and the independent organization reviewing the dispute.
- 1.9.4 It is each IDRП participant’s responsibility to review the Document Classification visibility rules in Section 1.9.3 and to make any necessary redactions prior to uploading a document to the IDRП portal. For example, protected health information for patient claims that are not the subject of the IDRП must be redacted from documents prior to upload.

## **2 RECEIPT**

### **2.1 Intake - IDRП Application Review**

- 2.1.1 After an Initiating Party submits the IDRП Application, a dated Acknowledgment of IDRП Application Submission will be electronically forwarded to the Initiating Party using the e-mail address listed in the registration process.
- 2.1.2 DMHC Intake Staff will do an initial review of the complete IDRП Application to confirm that the Initiating Party has identified the applicable health plan for all claim(s) contained in the IDRП Application.
- 2.1.3 Once an Initiating Party has submitted an IDRП Application, an Opposing Party (either the noncontracting individual health professional or the health

---

<sup>6</sup> For purposes of DMHC IDRП, “facility” means (i) the contracting health facility where the service(s) at issue were provided, or (ii) the contracting health facility where the provision of covered services resulted in the service(s) at issue.

plan/delegated entity) is required to participate in the IDR by law (see HSC §1371.30(a)(3)).

## 2.2 Request for Opposing Party Response I (ROPR I)

2.2.1 If the Initiating Party is a noncontracting individual health professional, the DMHC will send a ROPR I communication to the health plan through the IDR portal. The ROPR I is entirely web-based. ROPR I responses will not be accepted outside of the IDR portal and there is no parallel paper process for the ROPR I. The ROPR I requires the health plan to confirm or deny DMHC jurisdiction over the claim(s) at issue. If the health plan confirms DMHC jurisdiction, it must also indicate whether it is the responsible payor for purposes of participating in the IDR or if it has delegated payment function to a delegated entity that will be participating in the IDR. Even if the health plan names a delegated entity in its response to ROPR I, the health plan is ultimately responsible for implementing the IDR decision (see HSC §1371.30(d)).

2.2.2 The ROPR I communication contains the following data fields collected from the IDR Application that will allow the health plan to identify the claim(s) and accurately respond:

- Subscriber name
- Patient name
- Patient ID#
- Patient date of birth (DOB)
- Dates of Service (DOS)
- Provider name
- Facility name<sup>7</sup>
- Claim Number

2.2.3 If the health plan indicates that it is not the responsible payor for purposes of participating in the IDR, the health plan will not have access to the IDR Application or any documents uploaded as part of the IDR Application going forward. However, the health plan will have access to selected case status information in order to monitor whether the delegated entity has fulfilled its obligation to participate in the IDR.

2.2.4 If the health plan names a delegated entity as the responsible payor for purposes of the IDR, the health plan will be required to select the delegated entity from a list of pre-registered entities, or provide accurate and current contact information for the delegated entity if the name does not appear on the list of pre-registered entities. If a named delegated entity is not registered in the IDR portal at the time it is identified as the responsible payor, it is the health plan's responsibility to contact the delegated entity within two (2) business days to ensure it registers in the IDR portal.

---

<sup>7</sup> For purposes of DMHC IDR, "facility" means (i) the contracting health facility where the service(s) at issue were provided, or (ii) the contracting health facility where the provision of covered services resulted in the service(s) at issue.

- 2.2.5 If the health plan names a delegated entity as the responsible payor for purposes of the IDR, then the delegated entity is required to participate in the IDR (see HSC §1371.30(f)).
- 2.3 Closing Non-Jurisdictional Claims
- 2.3.1 If the health plan indicates in its ROPR I response that the claim(s) at issue are not within the DMHC's jurisdiction, the DMHC will close the IDR Application using the "Non-Jurisdictional" close reason and will electronically issue a closing letter to the parties.
- 2.3.2 If the health plan states that the DMHC does not have jurisdiction, it should specify in its ROPR I response the specific regulatory body that does have jurisdiction over the claim(s) at issue (*i.e.* California Department of Insurance, etc.), DMHC Intake Staff will include this information in the closing letter.
- 2.4 Request for Opposing Party Response II (ROPR II)
- 2.4.1 After the DMHC receives a ROPR I response and confirms jurisdiction and the contact information for the Opposing Party, the DMHC will electronically send a ROPR II notification to the Opposing Party through the IDR portal.
- 2.4.2 The ROPR II is the Opposing Party's opportunity to fully respond to the Initiating Party's IDR Application. When the Opposing Party receives the ROPR II, the Opposing Party will have access to the IDR Application, including every document uploaded by the Initiating Party as part of the IDR Application. This includes the Initiating Party's narrative summary justification document. It is the DMHC's expectation that an Opposing Party will address any inaccurate information contained in the IDR Application and/or any arguments raised in the narrative summary justification.
- 2.4.3 The Opposing Party must complete the ROPR II online, through the IDR portal. The ROPR II is entirely web-based. ROPR II responses, including communications or documentation of any kind, will not be accepted outside of the portal and there is no parallel paper process for providing a ROPR II response. The ROPR II includes data fields related to claims processing and claims payment. The information needed to complete these data fields should be readily available to the Opposing Party within the documents associated with the previously completed PDR process.
- 2.4.4 It is the Opposing Party's responsibility to submit a copy of the enrollee's Evidence of Coverage (EOC) and any other information and/or documents it believes to be relevant to the appropriate reimbursement amount for the claim(s) at issue as part of the ROPR II response.
- 2.4.5 In addition to the information and/or documents described in Section 2.4.4, a complete ROPR II response should include the Opposing Party's narrative summary justification that addresses all information relevant to its suggested appropriate reimbursement amount for the claim(s) at issue. The narrative summary justification should address any evidence offered by the Initiating Party concerning the factors set forth in CCR §1300.71(a)(3)(B)(i)-(vi), listed here:
- i. the provider's training, qualifications, and length of time in practice;

- ii. the nature of the services provided;
  - iii. the fees usually charged by the provider;
  - iv. prevailing provider rates charged in the general geographic area in which the services were rendered;
  - v. other aspects of the economics of the medical provider's practice that are relevant; and
  - vi. any unusual circumstances in the case.
- 2.4.6 The Opposing Party's narrative summary justification should be well-organized and should cite or reference supporting documentation and evidence where applicable. All cited or referenced materials should be uploaded with the ROPR II response.
- 2.4.7 The DMHC will not impose a page-limit on the Opposing Party's narrative summary justification.
- 2.4.8 The independent organization conducting the IDRP will consider solely the information and documents timely submitted through the IDRP portal by the parties to the dispute when rendering a decision. Therefore, it is the IDRP participant's responsibility to include all documents and information relevant to its suggested appropriate reimbursement amount with the ROPR II response.
- 2.4.9 The DMHC will not impose a page limit on the supporting documents submitted with the ROPR II response.
- 2.5 Failure to Respond to ROPR I or ROPR II
  - 2.5.1 If a health plan fails to timely respond to ROPR I, the DMHC's Provider Complaint Unit will refer the matter to the DMHC's Office of Enforcement for the possible imposition of administrative or civil penalties (Enforcement Action).
  - 2.5.2 If an Opposing Party fails to timely respond to ROPR II, the case will proceed to the independent organization to commence billing. If both the Initiating Party and Opposing Party remit IDRP review fees, the independent organization will consider only the information and documents timely submitted through the IDRP portal by the Initiating Party when reaching an IDRP decision.
  - 2.5.3 If an Opposing Party fails to timely respond to ROPR II, the case will proceed to the independent organization. If the Initiating Party remits its share of the IDRP review fee, but the Opposing Party does not, the independent organization will issue a Default Decision awarding the Initiating Party its full requested reimbursement amount.
  - 2.5.4 If a delegated entity fails to respond to any communications from the DMHC during the IDRP, the health plan that has delegated payment function to that delegated entity may be subject to an Enforcement Action.

### **3 PROCESSING**

#### **3.1 IDRP Application and ROPR II Review**

- 3.1.1 The DMHC will conduct a first-look review of the complete IDRP Application and ROPR II response to determine whether any uploaded documents are illegible, missing pages, or contain inapplicable protected health information, and cannot be sent to the independent organization conducting the IDRP.

- 3.1.2 If the DMHC determines that certain documents need to be redacted or re-uploaded due to illegibility or other technical errors, the DMHC will contact the appropriate party to the IDR to resolve the issue electronically through the IDR portal using a Request for Information (RFI) communication.
- 3.1.3 If a party does not timely respond to the RFI communication, any affected document(s) will undergo review by the DMHC and independent organization in its present condition.
- 3.1.4 Once the DMHC has resolved any technical issues with the IDR Application and ROPR II response, the DMHC will begin evaluating the case to determine whether it qualifies for the IDR.

## 3.2 Qualifying a Case for the IDR

- 3.2.1 It is the DMHC's responsibility to qualify cases for the IDR prior to billing and review by the independent organization conducting the IDR.
- 3.2.2 The DMHC must confirm the following information in a case before qualifying the case for the IDR:
  - All claims in the case must be for services rendered on or after July 1, 2017.
  - All claims in the case must be for non-emergency services. If there is an unresolved dispute as to whether the health care services at issue are non-emergent, the claim(s) do not qualify for the IDR.
  - All claims in the case must be for covered services provided at a contracting health facility, or provided as a result of covered services at a contracting health facility by a noncontracting individual health professional.
  - If the case contains bundled claims, all claims in the case must be for the same or similar services
  - All claims in the case must be accompanied by valid proof of completed PDR with either the health plan or the applicable delegated entity (see HSC §1371.30(a)(2)). Valid proof of completed PDR is a final PDR determination letter. The only exception to this requirement is in circumstances where a provider attempted PDR, but did not receive an acknowledgment letter or determination letter from the payor and at least 45 business days have passed since the date of receipt<sup>8</sup> of the provider dispute. In this limited circumstance, dated proof of the provider's PDR attempt is valid proof of PDR. In accordance with CCR §1300.71.38(d)(2), the 45 business day period shall be extended in situations where a provider dispute is returned and must be amended.
  - All claims in the case must be submitted to the IDR within 365-days from the final PDR date of determination.<sup>9</sup> If the provider attempted PDR, but the payor was non-responsive, the 365-day limit will run after 45

---

<sup>8</sup> "Date of receipt" is defined at CCR §1300.71.38(a)(3).

<sup>9</sup> "Date of Determination" is defined at CCR §1300.71.38(a)(4).

business days have passed since the date of receipt of the provider dispute.<sup>10</sup> In the event that a claim is submitted to the IDR, but disqualified due to a curable defect in the IDR Application, the time during which the initial IDR Application was pending with the DMHC is not included in the 365-day limit.

- The noncontracting individual health professional cannot be a dentist, licensed pursuant to the Dental Practice Act (Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 1600) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code).
- The health plan cannot be a Medi-Cal managed health care service plan or any other entity that enters into a contract with the State Department of Health Care Services pursuant to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 14000), Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 14200), and Chapter 8.75 (commencing with Section 14591) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

- 3.2.3 If a case qualifies for the IDR, the DMHC will draft a qualification memorandum (in a format to be determined by DMHC) for the independent organization conducting the IDR.
- 3.2.4 The qualification memorandum will ask the independent organization conducting the IDR to respond to the same question in every case: Based on all relevant information submitted by the parties, what is the appropriate reimbursement amount for each CPT and/or HCPCS code billed by the provider?
- 3.2.5 If an Initiating Party indicates in its Application, or an Opposing Party indicates in its ROPR II response, that a dispute exists as to whether the claims at issue are properly coded (i.e. upcoding, downcoding, etc.), the qualification memorandum will include an additional question: Based on all relevant information submitted by the parties, are the claims at issue appropriately coded for the purpose of calculating the reimbursement amount, and if the claims are not properly coded, what is the appropriate reimbursement amount for each of the claims, as appropriately coded? When a qualification memorandum contains this additional question, the parties will be charged the higher "Standard rate including coding review" rate as stated in Section 4.2.5, below.
- 3.2.6 The qualification memorandum will be electronically sent to the independent organization to commence billing and resolution.

### 3.3 Disqualifying a Case for the IDR

- 3.3.1 If a case is not qualified for the IDR, the DMHC will draft a disqualification memorandum (in a format to be determined by DMHC) that provides a detailed explanation as to why the case is not qualified and which documents and/or other information the DMHC relied on in reaching its conclusion.
- 3.3.2 The DMHC will electronically send the applicable closing letter to both parties to the IDR through the IDR portal. The closing letter will include the close reason.
- 3.3.3 The DMHC will close the case in the IDR portal.

---

<sup>10</sup> "Date of receipt" is defined at CCR §1300.71.38(a)(3).

## 4 **RESOLUTION**

### 4.1 Independent Organization Intake

- 4.1.1 The DMHC is contracted with an independent organization (Maximus, Inc.) that conducts IDRPs. The independent organization is independent of either party to the IDRP (see HSC §1371.30(c)(1)).
- 4.1.2 Upon receipt of the qualification memorandum from the DMHC, the independent organization will commence intake, billing, assignment, and review of a case.
- 4.1.3 The independent organization will assign reviewers to each case based on relevant education, background, and medical claims payment and clinical experience.

### 4.2 Pre-Payment/Billing

- 4.2.1 Reasonable and necessary fees for the purpose of administering the IDRPs will be split equally between the parties (see HSC §1371.30(b)(2)).
- 4.2.2 Payment of IDRPs is billed and collected solely by the independent organization conducting the IDRPs.
- 4.2.3 All IDRPs fees will be paid electronically through the IDRPs portal.
- 4.2.4 All IDRPs fees will be collected before the independent organization commences review of the IDRPs and prior to the issuance of a Decision Letter.
- 4.2.5 IDRPs fees increase based on the number of claims bundled within a case. A list of current IDRPs fees is as follows:

#### Standard rate (no dispute over correct coding of claims)

- \$315 per review
- \$315 per review of 2-10 substantially similar claims
- \$340 per review of 11-25 substantially similar claims
- \$395 per review of 26-50 substantially similar claims

#### Standard rate including coding review

- \$330 per review
- \$330 per review of 2-10 substantially similar claims
- \$355 per review of 11-25 substantially similar claims
- \$415 per review of 26-50 substantially similar claims

- 4.2.6 Once a case is qualified for the IDRPs by the DMHC, and the independent organization collects payment from the Initiating Party, the case cannot be withdrawn and any funds remitted by the parties will not be refunded.
- 4.2.7 The Initiating Party is billed for IDRPs first. After the Initiating Party timely remits its IDRPs fee, the Opposing Party is billed.
- 4.2.8 If an Initiating Party fails to timely remit payment for the IDRPs, the independent organization will notify the DMHC. The DMHC will close the case and electronically send a closing letter to both parties to the IDRPs.

4.2.9 If an Initiating Party timely remits its share of the IDRP review fee, but the Opposing Party does not, the independent organization will issue a Default Decision awarding the Initiating Party its full requested reimbursement amount.

#### 4.3 Review Guidance

4.3.1 The independent organization will have a maximum of thirty (30) calendar days following receipt of payment to complete its review of a case and provide the DMHC with an IDRP Decision Letter.

4.3.2 The review organization's IDRP Decision regarding the appropriate reimbursement amount for the claim(s) at issue shall be a de novo review based on all relevant information as submitted by the parties to the IDRP (see HSC §1371.30(b)(5)).

4.3.3 The relevant information considered by the independent organization includes, but is not limited to, information submitted by the parties regarding the factors set forth in CCR §1300.71(a)(3)(B)(i)-(vi), listed here:

- i. the provider's training, qualifications, and length of time in practice;
- ii. the nature of the services provided;
- iii. the fees usually charged by the provider;
- iv. prevailing provider rates charged in the general geographic area in which the services were rendered;
- v. other aspects of the economics of the medical provider's practice that are relevant; and
- vi. any unusual circumstances in the case.

4.3.4 The IDRP Decision drafted by the independent organization will provide a written explanation of the appropriate reimbursement amount decision, and will include a list of appropriate reimbursement amounts by relevant CPT and/or HCPCS code. When making its decision, the independent organization will not be limited to selecting the reimbursement amounts proffered by the parties to the IDRP. That is, the arbitration shall be "true arbitration."

4.3.5 The independent organization will electronically communicate all IDRP Decisions to the DMHC for final approval and distribution to the IDRP parties through the IDRP portal.

#### 4.4 IDRP Decision

4.4.1 IDRP Decision Letters, including IDRP Default Decision Letters, will not be distributed to the parties before final approval (to confirm application of IDRP guidelines, professional drafting, and formatting) by the DMHC.

4.4.2 Once an IDRP Decision Letter (or Default Decision Letter) is approved, the DMHC will electronically send the IDRP Decision Letter and DMHC cover letter to both parties to the IDRP through the IDRP portal.

4.4.3 The decision obtained through the IDRP is binding on both parties. The health plan and delegated entity, if applicable, shall implement the decision obtained through the IDRP. If dissatisfied, either party to the IDRP may pursue any right, remedy, or penalty established under any other applicable law (see HSC §1371.30(d)).

4.4.4 If the decision requires a health plan or delegated entity to reprocess a claim(s) for additional reimbursement, the health plan or delegated entity shall submit proof of

payment through the IDRП portal within five (5) business days of receipt of the IDRП Decision Letter (or Default Decision Letter).

## **5 TIME PERIOD TO RESPOND TO IDRП COMMUNICATIONS**

### **5.1 Paperless IDRП**

5.1.1 The IDRП is entirely electronic and is conducted through the IDRП portal. There is no parallel paper process for the IDRП, and documents will not be accepted or considered outside of the IDRП portal. To ensure maximum security, all documents uploaded to the IDRП portal must be in Portable Document Format (.PDF).

### **5.2 Response Deadlines**

5.2.1 The following response deadlines apply for each communication type within the IDRП portal. Deadlines begin to run on the business day following electronic transmittal of the communication through the IDRП portal. [Example: RFI communication sent by DMHC on Friday, September 15<sup>th</sup>. The 5-day deadline begins to run on Monday, September 18<sup>th</sup>. Assuming no intervening State holidays, the response is due by 11:59 p.m. on Friday, September 22<sup>nd</sup>.]:

Time to Respond to RFI:	5 business days
Time to Respond to ROPR I:	5 business days
Time to Respond to ROPR II:	20 business days
Time for Initiating Party to remit fee:	30 calendar days
Time for Opposing Party to remit fee:	30 calendar days
Time for Opposing Party to submit proof of payment:	5 business days

## **ACRONYMS**

AB 72:	Assembly Bill 72 (Bonta 2016)
CPT:	Current Procedural Terminology
DMHC:	California Department of Managed Health Care
EOB:	Explanation of Benefits
HCPCS:	Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System
IDRП:	Independent Dispute Resolution Process
NPI:	National Provider Identifier
PDF:	Portable Document Format
PDR:	Provider Dispute Resolution
RFI:	Request for Information
ROPR I:	Request for Opposing Party Response I
ROPR II:	Request for Opposing Party Response II